



Julia Child



BY LYNN GILBERT

WOMEN OF WISDOM SERIES:
PORTRAITS AND STORIES
OF TRAILBLAZERS WHO
TRANSFORMED OUR WORLD

Women of Wisdom

Julia Child

By
LYNN GILBERT

Excerpted from *Particular Passions: Talks With Women Who Have Shaped Our Times*

Women of Wisdom

This is one of 46 stories that will captivate, educate, and inspire you.

The Women of Wisdom series recounts the rich oral histories of pioneering women of the twentieth century in the fields of science and technology, the arts and culture, and activism.

We share their journeys as they pursue successful paths with intelligence and determination, changing the world for the millions of women and men who were inspired by them.

Visit

www.lynn-gilbert.com/women-of-wisdom

Contents

Julia Child in Her Own Voice	1
Author's Note	11
Postface	12
Acknowledgments	15
Women of Wisdom Series	17
Reviews	18
Imprint	19

Julia Child

B. 1912, Pasadena, California – D. 2004, Montecito, California

Julia Child was a chef, author, and television personality who transformed American cooking by making sophisticated French cuisine accessible to everyday people. Her landmark cookbook and groundbreaking television series broke barriers by proving that fine cooking was not just for professionals or men.

Child was born into a privileged family. Her father was in banking and real estate, and her mother was a paper-company heiress. She attended elite private schools, graduating from one of America's most prestigious women's colleges. Initially, she worked as an advertising copywriter and later for a top-secret government office during World War II. At 34, she married a U.S. diplomat and moved to Paris, where French cuisine became a revelation. They embraced a shared life of art, travel, and discovery. Her husband's encouragement and collaboration helped fuel her career.

In 1949, when she was 37, Child enrolled in France's top cooking school, a male-dominated world hostile to women. She was the only woman in her classes, and her diploma was withheld for a year until a chef at the school intervened. While women cooked at home from recipes focused on convenience and efficiency, they were denied authority in restaurant kitchens and prestigious culinary schools. Women shaped only everyday food culture.

Child persisted, studying with master chefs and establishing a school aimed at American expatriates, where she learned to explain complex techniques clearly. This led to her landmark cookbook, coauthored with two French women, which

involved painstakingly retesting each recipe for a decade. The book was followed by her breakthrough public television show in 1963, at the age of 51. Child's unpretentious personality and infectious enthusiasm transformed cooking shows and paved the way for today's celebrity chefs and their media empires.

Child demonstrated that women could be experts, leaders, and innovators in public life while inspiring millions to cook with joy and confidence, permanently reshaping both American society and the culinary profession. By forging her own professional path, she challenged the brutal gender barriers in elite culinary schools and restaurants, forever influencing cooking shows and culinary education.

I WAS THIRTY-TWO WHEN I started cooking; up until then, I just ate. I think it kind of crawled up on me. My mother didn't cook because I grew up in an era when most middle-income people had maids. So, she never learned, and I never really learned at all. But my grandmother was a great cook. She grew up in the farming country of Illinois; she made doughnuts and cakes and wonderful chicken, as I remember. We always had good food at her house, and at our house, too—plain good American food. But we never discussed it; it was expected to be good.

After college, I worked in public relations and advertising, and then my mother got very sick, so I went home and helped take care of her. After she died, the war came along, and I eventually went to work for the Office of Strategic Services, first in Washington, and then in the China-Burma-India theater, and that's where I met my future husband, Paul. We were married after the war, and that's when I started to cook. Paul remained in the government service, and we were sent to France. He spoke beautiful French and had lived there with his family in the 1920s. He knew about food and wines, and his mother was a wonderful cook, from all reports.

It was great fun living in France. We got to Paris in 1949 and spent five years there, then we had two years in Marseille. I had to go to school to learn French—I went to Berlitz every day for two hours—and then we made some French friends almost immediately. So, one learned by being thrown into it.

I was hysterical about everything in France. I thought it was so wonderful, and it took me several years at least to calm down and not be so pro-French. After one taste of French food, after our very first meal in France, at Rouen, on the way from Le Havre to Paris in our old blue Buick that we had brought over with us on the boat—after that first unforgettable lunch, I was hooked. I'd never eaten like that before and I didn't know such food existed. The wonderful attention paid to each detail of the meal was incredible to me. I'd never really drunk good wine before and knew nothing at all about it. It was simply a whole new life experience.

But you don't spring into good cooking naked. You have to have some training. You have to learn how to eat. It's like looking at a painting: If you don't have any kind of

background, you don't really know what you're looking at. The French have training from their families, they grow up with an appreciation of food, that it is an art, that it is worth considering carefully and looking at. I had to learn, and both cooking and taste developed simultaneously for me.

In those days, the Cordon Bleu cooking school had some wonderful chefs of the old school, and I decided to enroll. Why not? There was only my husband and my pussycat to look out for, and as soon as I got our living arrangements settled and a little French at my command, I started in. I was able to take the serious course that was designed for people going into the business, which meant that I entered a class of former GIs who were studying under the GI Bill of Rights; this was in 1950, and the war was barely over. They very kindly didn't object to my joining them, nor did their fine old chef, Max Bugnard, in his seventies and trained in the fine old ways. We started in at seven a.m., cooked until eleven, then I rushed home to cook lunch for Paul—our embassy followed the French hours, which meant a two-hour lunch period when everyone came home to eat with the family—and then I rushed back in the afternoon for the demonstrations. That's how I got started, and I never looked back.

I stayed at the Cordon Bleu for as long as I thought useful, which was six months or so—until they began repeating fancy dishes like poulet en chaud-froid several times. After one or two hassles with that, you have it. It was expensive, too, and we were living on a very strict budget. Just when I felt I'd spent enough of my money there, I met my future colleague, Simone Beck. She was mad about cooking, too, and was delighted to find a kindred spirit, because way back then, not many middle-class people had even the slightest interest in it. She asked me to join a French ladies' gastronomical club, and that, for me, was a sort of postgraduate course. It meant talking and spending time cooking and eating entirely with French people, and that made a tremendous difference to me because I got their attitudes and points of view about good food, menus, gastronomy, and so forth. And Simca, who was just a few years older than I, had been cooking since her childhood. I learned an awful lot from her.

I was planning to go into this cooking thing very seriously and take a long time studying and learning, but then I was suddenly thrown into it for keeps. After I had met Simca,

I also met her friend and colleague Louise Bertholle, also a member of the gastronomy club, Le Cercle des Gourmets. She and Simca were working on a cookbook for the United States, which had been going on for some time. One day, the three of us had invited some American friends of mine for lunch. They wanted cooking lessons, but said they didn't want to go to the Cordon Bleu because they didn't speak French, and so why didn't we teach them? I thought, My heavens, I wasn't nearly ready for that. But Simca, who is always ready for anything and was far more experienced than either Louise or I, said, "Well, why not?" And we started our cooking school, L'Ecole des Trois Gourmandes, just about the next day.

Of course, we learned an awful lot by doing, and we often were able to get our two fine chefs, Bugnard and Claude Thilmont, a patissier, from the Cordon Bleu. They loved coming to us, they enjoyed showing off to our oohs and ahhs, our pupils loved it, and we literally had free lessons every time from them, of course. We all had a very good time, never took more than eight students but preferred six, and it was a completely participation class. We cooked an entire meal, then invited guests to participate—often husbands, and always Paul. But guests had to pay 350 francs for the meal with wine. That was only one dollar then, and certainly one of the best and cheapest meals in Paris. It was lots of fun for us then, but loads of work, and sometimes we didn't make expenses at all. You know how people are—they wouldn't show up, and there we'd be with all that food. We never did figure that one out.

We kept up the school together for about a year and a half, and during that time, the American collaborator working on the book with Simca and Louise faded out of the picture; I don't remember why. But I was delighted because I really wanted to get in on the act. I had found that most of the cookbooks I read didn't give enough detail, and I thought we could really do something to explain French cooking to America. So, we started in, and it took us nine years to write the first draft of that cookbook. Of course, we were learning while doing, and were being very thorough indeed. Our first submission, nine hundred pages on French sauces and French poultry, was roundly rejected. It went into the most infinite detail on every aspect; it was really an academic treatise that was, as our first editor pointed out, utterly unpublishable. She suggested we write a regular cookbook.

By that time, Paul and I had moved from Paris to Marseille, to Bonn in Germany, and back to Washington, D.C. Simca had come to America on her first visit, and was here for the rejection. I was crushed, but she, as always undaunted, was all for going on and giving them a regular cookbook. That took another two or three years, and by the time that was finished, we had been posted to Norway. It was still a long and detailed book, because it was a teaching book, and had come out of our experiences with our school.

Simca and Louise kept on with the school in Paris, and I gave lessons wherever I happened to be. Louise, who has now published three books of her own, did not then take a large part in the final version for personal reasons, and it was Simca and I who batted chapters and recipes back and forth, she in French and I in English. But that new version was also rejected by our Boston publisher as being just too complicated and special for them. Luckily, our Cambridge friend, Avis DeVoto, who had introduced us to the first publisher, had another up her sleeve, the house of Knopf. She sent the manuscript to them, and some of their people, who were cooks, liked it. And that's how we finally got published, and I have been with the same editor ever since.

By the time the book was in galleys, Paul had resigned from the diplomatic service, and we had moved to Cambridge, Massachusetts. The book, *Mastering the Art of French Cooking*, came out in the fall of 1961. Simca came over again to this country, and we invented our own publicity tour. At that point, as far as I know, nobody ever did anything of that kind. But Simca, always full of vim and vigor, said, "Well, we'll just go to Detroit and Chicago and California, and get hold of all our friends and give demonstrations." That's what we did, and I'm sure it helped us a lot because we were unknown and had to get a start somewhere.

Then Simca went back to France and we came back to Boston, and I was giving cooking lessons. I found the best way to do it and not keep losing money was to invite likely customers for lunch at our house, and then tell them that I'd love to give them cooking lessons, but in their own kitchens. Much better, said I; then they'd be using their own stove and own equipment, and could get their own friends to be cooking along with them. That meant, also, that they bought the food and wine, and they had to do the washing up. I arrived an hour before class, got the preparations ready, then we cooked

and laughed and lunched, and I got my fee and left them with the dishes. It worked out very well for all concerned.

At the same time, our local educational television station had a book review program, and a friend of ours suggested that perhaps we could get our book on the show. The man who did it was a very literary type; the idea of a cookbook didn't appeal to him at all, but he agreed. Well, you can't talk for half an hour about cooking, so I brought my own little hot plate and made an omelette on the air, and beat up some egg whites in my big copper bowl. Afterwards, quite a number of people wrote in and said to the station that it would be nice to have a cooking program. The station asked us if we were interested, and although we had hardly ever seen television at that point, having lived abroad for so long, we said, "Sure, why not?" We did three pilot shows, which were aired locally in the summer of 1960, and there was indeed interest in cooking. The station asked us about doing thirteen more shows, and we again said, "Why not?" Then other stations wanted it—Pittsburgh, San Francisco, Chicago, New York. And that's how the *French Chef* series got started, little by little.

In those early days, 1963, the J.F. Kennedys were in the White House. There was a lot of talk about Mrs. Kennedy's fine French chef, Rene Verdon, and the wonderful French food at the White House. More Americans, too, were beginning to go abroad and to appreciate the finer things of life, and it was a pretty flush period in the economy, as I remember. Suddenly there was a great deal of interest in French cuisine, much more than there had ever been before. I was in the middle of it, happening to be the right woman at the right time. Very lucky for me.

I think you have to decide who your audience is. If you don't pick your audience, you're lost because you're not really talking to anybody. My audience is people who like to cook, who want to really learn how to do it. I don't pay any attention to other people because they wouldn't look at such a program anyway. I'm a teacher. I like it. I want people to be able to do things that will turn out properly. Of course, I am interested in people who want to learn, and my books are written for people who really want to cook, and to cook the right way. If you are going to make French bread, for instance, you want to make the best possible bread—or, at least, I think

you should want that. It should have the best possible texture and taste, and if it doesn't, why bother doing it?

I had assumed it would be impossible to make French bread at home with American flour; I just assumed that, without ever having tried it. No French people ever make bread at all at home—unthinkable. But while we were writing *Volume II* of *Mastering*, our editor suggested we try French bread because there were so many people who wanted to make their own. That interested my husband, Paul, so we started experimenting on it in Cambridge. We tried sourdough, and all the American recipes we could find for French bread—there were none in French cookbooks, of course—but it never turned out to be quite like the best French bread in France. However, I knew of a professional baker in Paris, Raymond Calvel, who taught at a school for bakers, and I wrote to Simca asking if she couldn't look him up. So, she arranged it, and the next time Paul and I were in Paris, we spent a fascinating day with him. Because Simca and I had spent so much time on yeast and doughs, we knew immediately what he was talking about and what he was doing, and we gradually saw what we had been doing wrong, which was just about everything. Our dough had been too stiff, it hadn't risen nearly enough, it wasn't formed correctly, and we needed a hot baking surface for our oven, as well as steam.

As soon as we arrived back at our little house in Provence, we started in anew. Paul, who is a practical Yankee and very good with his hands, got us a big sheet of asbestos cement—we later changed to quarry tiles—for our hot baking surface in the oven. Then he used a pan of water in the bottom of the oven into which he plunged a red-hot firebrick when the time came; it made a perfect burst of steam for those essential first five minutes of baking. We worked on the forming techniques and the rising—Paul taking photographs for our illustrator to follow when the recipe was ready for the book. Finally, I think we managed to make about as good a facsimile of professional French bread as one can make in a home oven. It took us two or three years to perfect, and I think it is one of the best things we have done. Now, our system is used by many home cooks as the normal way.

It took us fifteen years to develop a technique for making French puff pastry. Ordinary American flour, the all-purpose type, just does not work properly. Simca and I tried

many a system and formula, and it would rise nicely, but it was just not the tender flaky wonder that it should have been. The real breakthrough came when I was filming White House Red Carpet during the Johnson administration—how the White House entertains. They had a fine French pastry chef there in the kitchen, Ferdinand Louvat, who had made some perfectly splendid and tremendous vol-au-vents for the banquet's first course; those cases were cylinders about twelve inches across and five inches high, tenderly flaky and buttery, just first-class in every way. I asked him what flour he used, expecting some special chef's mixture. Oh no, he said, he used unbleached all-purpose white flour and plain bleached cake flour, one part cake flour to every four of all-purpose. We had tried cake flour, Simca and I, way back in the 1950s, but it hadn't worked out; we'd not experimented enough with proportions, and we never pursued it. It was one of those instances when you think you've tried everything, but you haven't tried hard enough. But that experience with the White House pastry chef put us on the right track, and puff pastry appeared in all its glory in our *Volume II*.

Provided you have fine ingredients, I think that cooking is mostly a matter of technique. And it's the technique that I am interested in trying to show because if you master that, you can do whatever you want. Although there is much decrying of classical cooking nowadays, and of [chef Auguste] Escoffier and so on and so forth, I do think most of the talk is from people who are not real students of cooking. The classical training teaches you what to do with food and how to do it. If you don't have that background, you really have nothing solid to depend on. Of course, you have to develop your taste for food, but that comes from experience—from eating, discussing, studying, experimenting—from taking food seriously.

With a solid classical background, you are ready for improvisation. That is what is happening in France now, with their so-called nouvelle cuisine. The chefs and society have released French cooking from the straitjacket of classical dishes, and it has now become accepted—even demanded—that the chefs improvise and create. Unfortunately, because that is the present mode, you do get cooks who have little taste and training who produce dishes that are quite inedible and utterly strange; they do it because they feel they must be new and different. But judging from our last trip to France, I believe things are settling down and much of the silliness is no longer acceptable. At least

I hope so! As a friend said to me the other day, “No wonder the old-fashioned French cuisine was so popular—it was so damned good to eat!”

To be a good cook, you have to have a love of the good, a love of hand work, and a love of creating. Some people like to paint pictures, or do gardening, or build a boat in the basement. Other people get a tremendous pleasure out of the kitchen because cooking is just as creative and imaginative an activity as drawing, or wood carving, or music. And cooking draws upon your every talent—science, mathematics, energy, history, experience—and the more experience you have, the less likely are your experiments to end in drivel and disaster. The more you know, the more you can create. There’s no end to imagination in the kitchen.



Author's Note

BY LYNN GILBERT

As the author of *Particular Passions*, I am updating what I feel has been overlooked. In 1981, when *Particular Passions* was first published, five years after its conception, it was presented as a book that would inspire. Almost half a century later, I realize this book is much more. It is a historic record of trailblazing women who shaped the American landscape.

A group of pioneering women in multiple disciplines emerged in the mid-1970s.

Some gained recognition and even fame. Others remained in the shadows but had an equal impact. Over time, women moved into the workforce and emerged in significant roles. It was no longer unusual.

We are moving backwards, unfortunately. Women are being stripped of their rights, even by the highest court.

There was no list of women of achievement in the mid-1970s. This book records, for the first time, these pioneers. Listen to their stories, struggles, and achievements in their own words.

Enjoy this Julia Child chapter.

APRIL 3, 2024

Postface

BY LYNN GILBERT

In 1976, when I was asked to photograph Louise Nevelson for the Pace Gallery, I went to her studio to meet her and was dazzled. Her house on Spring Street was very spare but very ordered. One could see the disciplined structure that dictated the way she lives. Surrounded by the amazing work of her own hands, she created her own atmosphere, her own environment. That day, she had an extraordinary outfit on—a Chinese robe over an American couture gown. A silver African necklace around her neck, a black velvet riding hat, those clodhopper space shoes. The effect was bizarre, yet right. Feeling the tremendous energy and focus of her personality, I was deeply moved.

Back home after the session, I said to myself: There are other women like her who have created something extraordinary and enriched life for themselves and others. Who are they? How were they able to develop themselves and make their astonishing contributions to society? The idea of photographing them and doing brief profiles took form in my mind.

My first task was deciding whom I would include. *Who's Who* was the logical place to start, but I found that the entries only provided information on positions held and awards won; it was impossible to assess the real contributions and far-reaching effects of the subjects. I knew I was in for a lot

of research. Plunging in, I used the *Readers' Guide to Periodical Literature* and began reading any and every article on a woman or women I could lay my hands on. When an article mentioned a woman who I thought might be considered, I would follow up on that.

Eventually, I found lists of outstanding women that had been compiled in popular magazines, and realized that the lists themselves were new to arrive on the scene. Only in the early seventies did the almanacs, of which there are many, begin to compile lists of distinguished women. In the mid-1970s, *Fortune* magazine wrote its first full-scale article on women in finance and industry, followed by one in *BusinessWeek*. The most thoroughly researched list to appear in any of the women's magazines was published in 1971 by the *Ladies' Home Journal*. According to the author, the 75 Most Important Women were the “women who had made the greatest impact on our civilization within the last five years and would continue to affect us significantly for the next five years.” The author added that it is a “representative list that speaks highly for the quality of feminine leadership in America.” But it was interesting to see that the positions of a number of women on this list were predicated on their relationships to men of national or international importance. Included were

Rose Mary Woods, “Executive Secretary to President Nixon since he became senator,” Jacqueline Kennedy Onassis, “widow of President Kennedy and wife of a Greek near-billionaire—the woman most other women would like to be,” and Rose Kennedy. I wanted my list, in contrast, to include only women whose contributions had come from their own energies and endeavors. My criterion would be women who had done pioneering work in their field that had significantly changed society and/or opened up a new field for women.

When I had exhausted the *Readers’ Guide*, I consulted experts in the fields of art, medicine, science, law, and so forth, and asked them for their recommendations. I finally created a master list of women, with a second column consisting of the writers, editors, and experts who could help me assess the subjects’ contributions. I decided that each subject would need at least three referrals by solid sources in order to be included.

Throughout the selection process, I tried to be receptive to the information I was being given. When I was compiling a list of Black women, for instance, the women who were repeatedly suggested to me were almost exclusively in the field of civil rights. I checked my own impulse to find a Black writer, a Black scientist. Any list is necessarily somewhat arbitrary. But by feeling my way, I tried to be true to the names that continued to emerge with the most insistence. I finally arrived at a list of over fifty women.

Several of the women, among them Marian Anderson, Martha Graham, Susan Sontag, and Susan Langer, who indisputably should have been included in such a book, preferred not to be. There were several others, including Jane Jacobs, the architect and city planner, whom I was not able to reach. Lillian Hellman agreed to be photographed for the book, but not interviewed. I photographed three women—Margaret Mead, Cecelia Payne-Gaposchkin, and Aileen Osborn Webb—who were not interviewed before their deaths. Dorothy Height and Dede Allen could not be included for reasons beyond our control. Therefore, the forty-six women included here do not represent a definitive list, but rather a sampling of the scope and significance of women’s contributions to American society over the last fifty years.

At this point, I envisioned the book as portraits of the women, each accompanied by a brief text. I hoped my photographs could portray each woman with dignity, and hoped to catch a gesture, a glint in the eye, or some small detail that would enable me to go beyond their public and sometimes well-known image and capture an essential inner quality. To put my subjects at ease during the photography sessions, I prepared by reading published interviews and profiles and their own books and articles, and as we talked, many of the women told me stories I had not seen in print. I went home and wrote down everything I could remember, but it was not long before I realized that these stories were more compelling than the primarily visual book

I had planned. I felt that if I could understand these women, how they function in our society, it would not only help me understand my own life, but perhaps help others.

I wanted the text accompanying the photographs to reflect the style of my portraits: to be revealing, yet written with honesty, dignity, and kindness. As the book evolved, I needed a writer who would go back to the women, gain their trust, listen to the stories I had heard, and go beyond. Again, I relied on research—interviews and profiles—to find a journalist with a sensibility compatible with mine.

Particular Passions turned into a collaboration when I read an interview of Elsa Peretti by

Gaylen Moore for the *New York Times Magazine*. I said to myself: This is the first writer of profiles who knows what a person is really about. We proceeded slowly and surely, coping with the difficult logistics of interviews, writing, and editing. This idea of mine took five years to fulfill, and with the collaboration of Gaylen Moore, it has resulted in a book far richer than any I could have imagined.

I hope that our book will not only add to the feminist literature of our time, but will inspire women everywhere to pursue their own particular passions.

Acknowledgments

There are many people I wish to acknowledge for having made this book possible.

Thanks to Arne Glimcher, founder of the Pace Gallery, who entrusted me with photographing Louise Nevelson after I photographed his children. My experience with Nevelson was the pivotal moment that shaped the direction of my life and the inspiration for this book.

I would like to thank the subjects, who gave of their time, and shared the previously unpublished stories of their lives that make this book so rich.

Without the encouragement of my husband, Ronnie, our sons, Paul and George, and my beloved housekeeper, Lessie Freeman, I'm not sure I could have tolerated the endless roadblocks during the five years that it took to complete this book.

My aunt and uncle, Red and Pick Heller, jump-started the book by

arranging my first subjects.

To Gaylen Moore, my writer, I owe my deepest gratitude. After firing the first writer during a search that lasted a year and a half, I interviewed thirty writers before I found the person who would share my vision. I wanted the shared stories to be the basis of my book. Gaylen returned to interview and record their voices, to let you feel as if you were in each person's presence.

The editor, Carol Southern at Clarkson Potter, did a superb job. Her faith, and that of publisher Jane West, enabled me, against all odds, to get this book published. Anne Goldstein, assistant editor, was so moved by the book that it enabled her to leave publishing to follow her own "particular passion."

I received encouragement in the book's early stages from Audrey Adler, a literary agent. Among the most helpful in finding a writer were Michael and Ann Loeb

and their friend Dick Brickner; Arthur Loeb of the Madison Avenue Bookshop; Harriet Lyons of *Ms.*; and Richard De Combray, who kept the project going when it might have come to a dead end.

Thanks to Nancy Wechsler, of Greenbaum, Wolff and Ernst, for sound legal advice.

Friends were supportive: Lila Bader, an excellent listener, helped me overcome hurdles; Edward Merrin exhibited my portraits at his gallery in New York City as the book slowly progressed.

Critical to the book's credibility was the rigorous process of selecting the women. More than a hundred people shaped the selection. Thanks to the three experts in each discipline who helped make the final selection. I would like to acknowledge the following:

ARCHITECTURE

Pershing Wong, AIA fellow, designer with I.M. Pei; Suzanne Stephens, senior editor, *Progressive Architecture*.

ART

Paul Cummings, director of oral history, Archives of American Art, New York; Rose Slivka, editor-in-chief, *Craft Horizons*; Jack Frizzelle, manager of public information, Metropolitan Museum of Art; Rona Roob, Museum of Modern Art.

ASTRONOMY

Dr. Charles Whitney and Dr. Owen Gingerich, professors of astronomy, Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

CIVIL RIGHTS

Jewell Jackson McCabe, president, Coalition of 100 Black Women, director, government and community affairs, WNET; Anna Hedgeman, consultant on African American studies; Jean Blackwell Hutson, chief of the Schomburg Center; Mildred Roxborough, director of operations, NAACP; Althea Simmons, director, Washington Bureau, NAACP; Evelyn Cunningham, special assistant to President Ford.

MEDICINE AND SCIENCE

Joan Warnow, associate director, Center for History of Physics, American Institute of Physics; David Dvorkin, research associate, American Institute of Physics; Dr. Sylvia Frank, Mount Sinai School of Medicine; Dr. Anne Briscoe, Association for Women in Science.

RELIGION

Beverly Harrison, professor of Christian social ethics, Union Theological Seminary.

WOMEN'S STUDIES

Elizabeth Norris, librarian and YWCA historian; Ellen Sweet, public education director, Women's Action Alliance; Jan Mason, assistant editor at *Life* and head of research for its "Remarkable American Women" issue; Irene Arnold, executive director, Retarded Infants Services; Margaret Adams, senior editor, national affairs department, *Good Housekeeping*; Annette Baxter, Adolph S. and Effie Ochs Professor of History, Barnard College; Marilyn Boll, IBM public relations consultant to the United Nations.

TECHNOLOGY

Dr. Carl Hammer, director of computer science, Sperry Univac Corporation.

As I rerelease this book in 2024, I have additional acknowledgments:

Particular Passions, originally published in 1981, was released digitally in 2011 as *Women of Wisdom*. I want to thank Rima Weinberg for editing assistance, Alison Curry for her early guidance, John Malcolmson for the new graphic design of the book, Gabe Kirchheimer for copy editing and graphic production, and John Delaney, master printer, for the digital images.

Additionally, I am grateful to Spencer Throckmorton, of Throckmorton Fine Art, for exhibiting my women's portraits at AIPAD and giving me the exhibit's best "real estate," and Norberto Rivera, director of the gallery, who has provided endless support.

Finally, thanks to Loni Efron of Ilon Art Gallery, editor, curator, and archivist, who has brought this project up to date.

Women of Wisdom Series

EXCERPTED FROM

Particular Passions: Talks With Women Who Have Shaped Our Times

BY LYNN GILBERT



ACTIVISM

CIVIL & WOMEN'S RIGHTS

Betty Friedan
Ruth Bader Ginsburg
Gloria Steinem
Addie Wyatt

LAW

Eleanor Holmes Norton

SPORTS

Billie Jean King



ARTS & CULTURE

ARTS

Tatyana Grosman
Agnes de Mille
Dorothy Canning Miller
Louise Nevelson

ARCHITECTURE

Denise Scott Brown
Ada Louise Huxtable

CULINARY ARTS

Julia Child

LITERATURE

Frances Steloff

THEATER

Ellen Stewart



SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

COMPUTER SCIENCE

Grace Murray Hopper

MEDICINE

Mary Steichen Calderone
Helen Taussig

SCIENCE RESEARCH

Chien-Shiung Wu
Rosalyn Yalow

AVAILABLE ON

amazon  Books

Reviews

“

“One of those rare, rare books that pick your life up, turn it around, and point it in the right direction.”

— *K.T. Maclay*

“Every woman owes it to herself to look up *Particular Passions: Talks With Women Who Have Shaped Our Times*—borrow the volume from your public library. Or, better still, buy it and put it with your favorite novel or poetry collection to sustain you. Every story in the book is an inspiration. This book is a joy and a tonic.”

— *St. Paul Pioneer Press*

“Tantalizing glimpses into the lives of women who have not only made a living at their own ‘particular passion,’ but have become well known, even world-renowned, for doing work they love.”

— *Christian Science Monitor*

“A forceful inspiration—a revelation of woman’s courage, spirit, and strength.”

— *Sey Chassler, Editor in Chief, Redbook*

“This is a wonderful book . . . The book is recommended reading for anyone—no matter what political or sociological background—who wants to know more about living history.”

— *Santa Cruz Sentinel*

“I have never enjoyed an oral history book more than this one.”

— *Sojourner*

“Oral histories of 46 fascinating women, unknowns to media stars, all tops in their fields.”

— *School Library Journal*

“A stellar compilation for selective reading or straight through.”

— *Kirkus*

“A fresh, rich, and absorbing book. An excellent contribution to women’s literature.”

— *Andrea Hinding, Women’s History Sources*

“46 successful women talk about their lives and their philosophies. Some pursued conventional purposes, but many directed their lives in creative and innovative ways. Good models for other women, who can accomplish even better things. This book also gives a good glimpse of life in 20th-century America.”

— *James Leonard Park, Authenticity Bibliography*

”

Imprint



Julia Child

excerpted from

Particular Passions: Talks With Women Who Have Shaped Our Times

**First Edition 1981 Particular Passions: Talks With Women Who Have Shaped
Our Times By Lynn Gilbert**

ISBN: 0-517-543710 (cloth)

0-517-545942 (paper)

Text and photographs copyright © 1981 Lynn Gilbert

Text by Lynn Gilbert with Gaylen Moore

Published by Clarkson N. Potter, Inc.

New York, New York

Printed in the United States of America

Published simultaneously in Canada by General Publishing Company Limited

Second Edition 2012 Women of Wisdom By Lynn Gilbert

Text and photographs copyright © 2012 Lynn Gilbert

Published by Lynn Gilbert for Kindle and Apple

STRATEGIC DIRECTION: Alison D. Curry

DESIGN & ART DIRECTION: John Malcolmson

Third Edition 2024 Women of Wisdom By Lynn Gilbert

Text and photographs copyright © 2024 Lynn Gilbert

Published by Lynn Gilbert

Available on www.lynn-gilbert.com

Kindle and Apple: www.amazon.com

CREATIVE DIRECTION: Loni Efron

DESIGN & ART DIRECTION: John Malcolmson

COPY EDITING & PRODUCTION: Gabe Kirchheimer

ASSISTANT: Jacob Grumulaitis

© 2026 Lynn Gilbert